Department of Health and Human Services

# OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

# NATIONAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES CLAIMED ALLOWABLE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS FOR FISCAL YEARS 2008 AND 2009 UNDER MEDICARE CONTRACT 00630

Inquiries about this report may be addressed to the Office of Public Affairs at <u>Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov</u>.



Sheri L. Fulcher Regional Inspector General

> May 2013 A-05-11-00084

# Office of Inspector General

https://oig.hhs.gov

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

#### Office of Audit Services

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

#### Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

### Office of Investigations

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

### Office of Counsel to the Inspector General

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG's internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.

# Notices

## THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

at https://oig.hhs.gov

Section 8L of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG Web site.

## OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters. National Government Services claimed allowable administrative costs of \$56,020,942 for FY 2008 and 2009 under Medicare Part B contract 00630.

#### WHY WE DID THIS REVIEW

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) administers the Medicare program through contracts with private organizations that process and pay Medicare claims. The contracts with CMS provide for the reimbursement of allowable administrative costs incurred in processing Medicare claims. After the close of each Federal fiscal year (FY), contractors submit a Final Administrative Cost Proposal (cost proposal) reporting Medicare costs. Once CMS accepts the cost proposal, the contractor and CMS negotiate a final settlement of allowable administrative costs.

From October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2009, CMS contracted with National Government Services (NGS) to process Part B claims in Indiana and Kentucky. CMS requested that we perform an audit of the Part B cost proposal NGS submitted for this period.

#### **OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this review was to determine whether the administrative costs NGS reported on its cost proposals for Federal FY 2008 and 2009 were allowable under the Medicare contract and applicable Federal regulations.

#### BACKGROUND

Title XVIII of the Social Security Act established the Medicare program. CMS administers the Medicare program through contractors, including Part A fiscal intermediaries and Part B carriers that process and pay Medicare claims submitted by health care providers. Contracts between CMS and the Medicare contractors define the functions to be performed and provide for the reimbursement of allowable administrative costs incurred in the processing of Medicare claims.

Following the close of each Federal FY, contractors submit to CMS a cost proposal that reports the Medicare administrative costs incurred during the year. The cost proposal and supporting data provide the basis for the CMS contracting officer and contractor to negotiate a final settlement of allowable administrative costs. When claiming costs, Medicare contractors must follow cost reimbursement principles contained in Part 31 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) and other applicable criteria.

In January 2007, NGS assumed Medicare operations of AdminaStar Federal and was the Medicare contractor under CMS's Medicare contractor number 00630 for FY 2008 and 2009. NGS processed Medicare claims for providers in Indiana and Kentucky and reported a total of \$56,020,942 in administrative costs for FY 2008 and 2009.

#### HOW WE CONDUCTED THIS REVIEW

NGS claimed administrative costs to CMS totaling \$57,161,323 during our audit period, October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2009. We reviewed \$56,020,942 in administrative costs, but excluded \$1,140,381 in pension costs that will be the subject of a separate review. We reviewed 300 random sample items to determine if the costs claimed were reasonable, allowable and in compliance with the FAR and other applicable criteria. The 300 sample items were selected randomly from a population of three cost categories: salaries and wages; miscellaneous; and overhead.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

We limited our internal control review to those controls related to the recording and reporting of costs on the cost proposals. We accomplished our objective through random testing. Appendix A contains details of our audit scope and methodology, Appendix B contains details of the Final Administrative Cost Proposals and Appendix C contains details of the statistical sampling methodology.

We conducted fieldwork at the contractor operations facility in Indianapolis, IN from August 2011 through February 2013.

#### **RESULTS OF REVIEW**

The \$56,020,942 in costs covered by our review were allowable under the terms of the Medicare contract and applicable Federal Regulations. Accordingly, this report contains no recommendations.

#### APPENDIX A: SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

#### SCOPE

Our audit covered the period October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2009. For this period, NGS claimed administrative costs to CMS totaling \$57,161,323. This total included pension costs of \$1,140,381 that we did not review because they will be the subject of a separate review. We therefore reviewed \$56,020,942 in administrative costs. We limited our internal control review to those controls related to the recording and reporting of costs on the cost proposals. We accomplished our objective through random testing.

We conducted fieldwork at the contractor's financial operations office in Indianapolis, IN from August 2011 through February 2013.

#### METHODOLOGY

To accomplish our objective, we:

- reviewed applicable Medicare laws, regulations and guidelines;
- reviewed NGS's contracts with CMS;
- reviewed NGS's external audit reports for calendar years 2008 and 2009 and an Office of Inspector General audit report for Federal FY 2007;
- interviewed NGS officials regarding the cost accumulation processes for its cost proposal and cost allocation system;
- reconciled line item expenses on the cost proposal and cost classification report to NGS accounting records;
- tested costs for reasonableness, allowability, and allocablility by reviewing contracts and agreements and by randomly selecting journal entries, invoices, expense vouchers and reports, payroll journals, corporate bonus plans and personnel records; and
- reviewed total compensation paid to the five highest paid executives.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

#### APPENDIX B: FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE COST PROPOSALS WITH RECOMMENDED COSTS FOR ACCEPTANCE

Cost Category	Fiscal Year 2008	Fiscal Year 2009	Total
Salaries and Wages	\$9,231,398	\$8,184,197	\$17,415,595
Fringe Benefits	5,422,755	6,502,592	11,925,347
Facilities or Occupancy	2,376,629	2,253,470	4,630,099
EDP Equipment	1,493,491	1,435,694	2,929,185
Subcontracts	0	0	0
Outside Professional Services	34,800	91,279	126,079
Telephone and Telegraph	0	0	0
Postage and Express	4,407,205	4,257,337	8,664,542
Furniture and Equipment	0	0	0
Materials and Supplies	2,334,419	2,054,496	4,388,915
Travel	93,594	65,697	159,291
Return on Investment	81,842	109,537	191,379
Miscellaneous	3,795,892	333,031	4,128,923
Other	7,905,215	10,693,521	18,598,736
Credits	(7,831,824)	(8,164,944)	(15,996,768)
Forward Funding	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs Claimed</b>	\$29,345,416	\$27,815,907	\$57,161,323
Less Pension Costs Not Reviewed	358,162	782,218	1,140,381
Total Costs Reviewed	\$28,987,254	\$27,033,688	\$56,020,942
Recommended for Acceptance	\$28,987,254	\$27,033,688	\$56,020,942

#### APPENDIX C: STATISTICAL SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

#### POPULATION

The population consisted of all salaries, miscellaneous and other administrative costs claimed during FY 2008 and 2009 that were greater than or equal to \$5.00. There are 23,109 line item transactions totaling \$44,599,349.

#### SAMPLING FRAME

NGS provided us an MS Excel spreadsheet that included all transactions from the general ledger for 2008 and 2009. These transactions were sorted by month recorded and account activity. Any account activities that had more than one transaction in a month were combined into one line. The transactions were sorted and grouped by date and activity and sequentially numbered.

#### SAMPLE UNIT

The sampling unit was one line item's transaction.

#### SAMPLE DESIGN

Our sample design consisted of a stratified random sample. The strata were as follows:

Stratum	Cost Category	Number of line	Dollar Value of
		items	Line Items
1	Salaries and Wages	7514	19,826,454
2	Miscellaneous	2330	7,654,556
3	Other	13,265	17,118,339
Total =		23,109	44,599,349

#### SAMPLE SIZE

The sample size consisted of 300 sample items, 100 per stratum.

#### SOURCE OF RANDOM NUMBERS

Random numbers were generated using the OAS Statistical Sampling software RAT-STATS 2010, Version 3.

#### METHOD FOR SELECTING SAMPLE ITEMS

We consecutively numbered the sample units in the frame from 1 to 7,514 for stratum 1, and from 1 to 2,330 for stratum 2 and 1 to 13,265 for stratum 3. We generated 100 random numbers for each stratum. We then selected the corresponding frame items. A list of the sample units was created using MS Excel.

#### ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY

Using the HHS-OIG-OAS RAT-STATS 2010, version 3, Variable Appraisal Program for stratified samples, we estimated the amount which was not allowable, allocable, or supported, pursuant to the NGS Medicare Part B contact with CMS