comment session, the meeting will continue with an open house format until 7 p.m. CDT, which will not be broadcast. Interested parties are encouraged to attend and participate in this meeting.

The public hearing will be recorded, and all comments provided will become part of the formal record.

In the event of inclement weather, the meeting may only be held virtually or will be broadcast from the Grand Portage State Park Welcome Center. If the meeting is broadcast from the Grand Portage State Park Welcome Center, a staff member will be available (inperson and virtually) to assist the public in providing public comments via the virtual platform. Please check the GSA project website (https://www.gsa.gov/ about-us/gsa-regions/region-5-greatlakes/buildings-and-facilities/ minnesota/grand-portage-land-port-ofentry) for updates in the days leading up to the meeting to determine if the meeting will be held in-person, virtually, or will be broadcast from the Grand Portage State Park Welcome Center.

Public Comment Period

The views and comments of the public are necessary in helping GSA in its decision-making process with respect to environmental, cultural, and economic impacts. The public comment process will be accomplished through a hybrid virtual and in-person public hearing, direct mail correspondence to appropriate Tribal, federal, state, and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed, or are known to have, an interest in the project. The Draft EIS has considered previous input provided during the scoping period.

Background

The existing 5.7-acre LPOE serves as the port of entry for vehicles and pedestrians crossing the U.S.-Canada border between Grand Portage Reservation in the U.S. and Neebing, Ontario in Canada. The Feasibility Study performed in 2019 determined that the existing structures do not contain the necessary square footage as specified by CBP's space and facility requirements (also referred to as Program of Requirements [POR]). In addition, the facility lacks outbound inspection capabilities. Following preparation of the Feasibility Study, a Program Development Study (PDS) was initiated as the next step in the design process to further refine potential alternatives under consideration. From the PDS process, viable alternatives were further refined into the Proposed

Action analyzed within this Draft EIS, in collaboration with the Grand Portage Band, who is serving as a Cooperating Agency for this EIS.

GSA has prepared this Draft EIS for the purpose of analyzing the potential environmental, cultural, and economic impacts resulting from the Proposed Action to modernize and expand the existing Grand Portage LPOE.

Alternatives Under Consideration

The Proposed Action would comprise of modernization and expansion of existing Grand Portage LPOE facilities as described in the PDS. The Proposed Action includes removal of all existing Grand Portage LPOE buildings and replacement with new facilities in a new site configuration. GSA would replace the Grand Portage LPOE with a modernized facility on an expanded footprint, expanding the existing 5.7acre operational area to a total operational area of approximately 10.4 acres. The Proposed Action would also include upgrades to the electrical distribution system leading to the LPOE by installing a 7.3-mile buried threephase power line within Arrowhead Cooperative's existing utility right-ofway along the western side of Highway 61. GSA also considered the No Action Alternative, which assumes that GSA would not expand or modernize the Grand Portage LPOE or install the threephase power line.

The purpose of the Proposed Action is for GSA to support CBP's mission by modernizing and expanding the Grand Portage LPOE. The existing LPOE facilities and their configuration do not meet CBP's current needs and do not allow for expeditious and safe inspection of the traveling public. The deficiencies fall into two broad categories: deficiencies in the overall site layout and substandard building conditions. Therefore, in order to bring the Grand Portage LPOE operations in line with design standards and operational requirements, implementation of the Proposed Action is needed to (1) address space constraints and inefficient traffic flows; (2) shorten and expedite vehicle processing time, to include improving daily commutes across the U.S.-Canada border; (3) decrease congestion and long wait times during the peak travel season; (4) allow CBP to process a higher volume of vehicles traveling to and from Canada, to include further accommodation of potential future spikes in travelers crossing the U.S.-Canada border; and (5) provide a wider single lane for large semi-trucks hauling wind turbine components from Canada.

The Draft EIS addresses the potential environmental impacts of the proposed alternatives on environmental resources including geologic resources, water resources, biological resources, air quality and climate change, noise, traffic and transportation, land use and visual resources, infrastructure and utilities, socioeconomics, cultural resources, human health and safety, and environmental justice and protection of children. Based on the analysis presented in the Draft EIS, impacts to all resource areas would be less-thansignificant (i.e., negligible, minor, or moderate) adverse or beneficial. Impact reduction measures are presented in the Draft EIS to reduce potential adverse effects.

GSA is currently undergoing formal consultation with the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) to follow coordination procedures as required under Section 106 of the NHPA to determine impacts to historic properties. Mitigation measures may be determined in consultation between GSA, the THPO, and applicable consulting parties.

Under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), GSA is coordinating with USFWS per Section 7 requirements to determine effects to federally protected species. GSA has determined there would be no adverse effects to federally threatened or endangered species with implementation of impact avoidance measures. GSA's findings and correspondence with USFWS to date are incorporated in the Draft EIS.

William Renner,

Director, Facilities Management and Services Programs Division, Great Lakes Region 5, U.S. General Services Administration. [FR Doc. 2024-24782 Filed 10-31-24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820-CF-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND **HUMAN SERVICES**

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[Document Identifiers: CMS-10520]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection: **Comment Request**

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Health and Human Services (HHS). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is announcing an opportunity for the public to comment on CMS' intention to collect

information from the public. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Federal agencies are required to publish notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information (including each proposed extension or reinstatement of an existing collection of information) and to allow 60 days for public comment on the proposed action. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding our burden estimates or any other aspect of this collection of information, including the necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions, the accuracy of the estimated burden, ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

DATES: Comments must be received by December 31, 2024.

ADDRESSES: When commenting, please reference the document identifier or OMB control number. To be assured consideration, comments and recommendations must be submitted in any one of the following ways:

1. *Electronically.* You may send your comments electronically to *http://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the instructions for "Comment or Submission" or "More Search Options" to find the information collection document(s) that are accepting comments.

2. *By regular mail.* You may mail written comments to the following address:

CMS, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs, Division of Regulations Development, Attention: Document Identifier/OMB Control Number: __, Room C4–26–05, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244–1850.

To obtain copies of a supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed collection(s) summarized in this notice, please access the CMS PRA website by copying and pasting the following web address into your web browser: https://www.cms.gov/ Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/ PaperworkReductionActof1995/PRA-Listing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William N. Parham at (410) 786–4669. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Contents

This notice sets out a summary of the use and burden associated with the following information collections. More detailed information can be found in each collection's supporting statement and associated materials (see ADDRESSES).

CMS–10520 Marketplace Quality Standards

Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. The term "collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA requires Federal agencies to publish a 60-day notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension or reinstatement of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, CMS is publishing this notice.

Information Collections

1. Type of Information Collection *Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection; Title of Information Collection: Marketplace Quality Standards; Use: The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act establishes requirements to support the delivery of quality health care coverage for health insurance issuers offering QHPs in Exchanges. Section 1311(c)(3)of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act directs the Secretary to develop a system to rate QHPs on the basis of quality and price and requires Exchanges to display this quality rating information on their respective websites. Section 1311(c)(4) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act requires the Secretary to develop an enrollee satisfaction survey system to assess enrollee experience with each QHP (with more than 500 enrollees in the previous year) offered through an Exchange. Section 1311(h) requires OHPs to contract with certain hospitals that meet specific patient safety and health care quality standards beginning January 1, 2015.

This collection of information is necessary to provide adequate and timely health care quality information for consumers, regulators, and Exchanges in the initial years of Exchange implementation. It is also necessary to collect information to appropriately monitor and provide a process for a survey vendor to appeal HHS' decision to not approve a QHP Enrollee Survey vendor application. Form Number: CMS–10114 (OMB control number: 0938–1249); Frequency: Annually; Affected Public: Public sector (Individuals and Households), Private sector (Business or other for-profits and Not-for-profit institutions); Number of Respondents: 380; Total Annual Responses: 380; Total Annual Hours: 138,112. (For policy questions regarding this collection contact Preeti Hands at 301–492–5144.)

William N. Parham III,

Director, Division of Information Collections and Regulatory Impacts, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2024–25505 Filed 10–31–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[Document Identifier: CMS-10398 #86]

Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Generic Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Health and Human Services (HHS). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: On May 28, 2010, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) guidance related to the "generic" clearance process. Generally, this is an expedited process by which agencies may obtain OMB's approval of collection of information requests that are "usually voluntary, low-burden, and uncontroversial collections," do not raise any substantive or policy issues, and do not require policy or methodological review. The process requires the submission of an overarching plan that defines the scope of the individual collections that would fall under its umbrella. This Federal **Register** notice seeks public comment on one or more of our collection of information requests that we believe are generic and fall within the scope of the umbrella. Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding our burden estimates or any other aspect of this collection of information, including: the necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions, the accuracy of the estimated burden, ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected, and the use of automated