

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licenses of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation

Table of Contents

- Policy: Commercial
- Policy: Medicare
- Authorization Information
- Coding Information
- Description
- Policy History
- Information Pertaining to All Policies
- References

Policy Number: 003

BCBSA Reference Number: 1.01.09

Related Policies

- Percutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (PENS) and Percutaneous Neuromodulation Therapy (PNT), #172
- Interferential Stimulation for Treatment of Pain, #509

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

A trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) of at least 30 days may be <u>MEDICALLY</u> <u>NECESSARY</u> to establish efficacy for the management of refractory chronic pain (e.g., chronic musculoskeletal or neuropathic pain) when the following conditions have been met:

- The pain is causing significant disruption of function
- The pain is unresponsive to at least 3 months of conservative medical therapy, AND
- The trial is monitored by a physician.

Documentation for the trial should include:

- Description of how the pain adversely affects the member's day-to-day activities
- Initial assessment/evaluation of the nature, duration, and perceived intensity of pain,
- The types and duration of prior treatments, and
- Treatment plan including ongoing medications and proposed use of TENS unit including the frequency and duration of treatment.

Clinical summary of the trial to determine efficacy should include:

- Perceived intensity of pain with and without TENS (e.g., 2 point or 30% improvement in visual analog scale [VAS]),
- Ongoing medication requirements for pain relief (if any),
- Other modalities (if any) in use for pain control, and
- Actual use of TENS on a daily basis (frequency and duration of application).

Continued use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) may be <u>MEDICALLY NECESSARY</u> for treatment of refractory chronic pain (e.g., chronic musculoskeletal or neuropathic pain) that causes significant disruption of function when the following conditions have been met:

- Documentation of an initial therapeutic trial period meeting all criteria described above
- Documentation that TENS used in the trial period has shown a positive effect, AND
- TENS has been used on a regular basis (e.g., daily or near daily use) throughout the trial period.

Note: A TENS billed as a purchased unit (modifier NU) must meet above criteria for continued use.

Refractory chronic pain is defined in this policy as pain that:

- · Causes significant disruption of function, and
- Has not responded to at least 3 months of conservative therapy, including:
 - o Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, ice, rest, and/or
 - o Physical therapy.

The use of TENS for any other condition, including the treatment of dementia and prevention of migraine headaches is **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

TENS for the management of acute pain (e.g., postoperative or during labor and delivery) is **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

In accordance with CMS NCD, BCBSMA covers the following techniques when used to determine the potential therapeutic usefulness of an electrical nerve stimulator:

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS)

This technique involves attachment of a transcutaneous nerve stimulator to the surface of the skin over the peripheral nerve to be stimulated. It is used by the patient on a trial basis and its effectiveness in modulating pain is monitored by the physician, or physical therapist.

If TENS significantly alleviates pain, it may be considered as primary treatment; if it produces no relief or greater discomfort than the original pain electrical nerve stimulation therapy is ruled out. However, where TENS produces incomplete relief, further evaluation with percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation may be considered to determine whether an implanted peripheral nerve stimulator would provide significant relief from pain.

National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Assessing Patient's Suitability for Electrical Nerve Stimulation Therapy (160.7.1)

https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=63&ncdver=2&bc=AgAAgAAAAAA&

BCBSMA covers TENS for acute post-operative pain for the following indications for Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue members in accordance with CMS NCD:

- The use of TENS for the relief of acute post-operative pain is covered under Medicare. TENS may be
 covered whether used as an adjunct to the use of drugs, or as an alternative to drugs, in the
 treatment of acute pain resulting from surgery, and
- TENS devices, whether durable or disposable, may be used in furnishing this service. When used for
 the purpose of treating acute post-operative pain, TENS devices are considered supplies. As such
 they may be hospital supplies furnished inpatient covered under Part A, or supplies incident to a
 physician's service when furnished in connection with surgery done on an outpatient basis, and
 covered under Part B.

National Coverage Determination for Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) for Acute Post-Operative Pain (10.2)

https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=145&ncdver=1&bc=AgAAgAAAAAA&

BCBSMA covers a form-fitting conductive garment (and medically necessary related supplies) for the delivery of TENS and NMES for the following indication(s) for Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue members in accordance with CMS NCD:

- 1. It has received permission or approval for marketing by the Food and Drug Administration,
- 2. It has been prescribed by a physician for use in delivering covered TENS or NMES treatment, and
- 3. One of the medical indications outlined below is met:
 - The patient cannot manage without the conductive garment because there is such a large area or so many sites to be stimulated and the stimulation would have to be delivered so frequently that it is not feasible to use conventional electrodes, adhesive tapes, and lead wires,
 - The patient cannot manage without the conductive garment for the treatment of chronic intractable pain because the areas or sites to be stimulated are inaccessible with the use of conventional electrodes, adhesive tapes, and lead wires,
 - The patient has a documented medical condition such as skin problems that preclude the application of conventional electrodes, adhesive tapes, and lead wires,
 - The patient requires electrical stimulation beneath a cast either to treat disuse atrophy, where the nerve supply to the muscle is intact, or to treat chronic intractable pain, or
 - The patient has a medical need for rehabilitation strengthening (pursuant to a written plan of rehabilitation) following an injury where the nerve supply to the muscle is intact.

In accordance with CMS NCD, BCBSMA does not cover the conductive garment used in the delivery of TENS and NMES for Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue members **unless**:

- 1. The patient has a documented skin problem prior to the start of the trial period, and
- 2. The carrier's medical consultants are satisfied that use of such an item is medically necessary for the patient.

National Coverage Determination for Supplies Used in the Delivery of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) and Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation (NMES) (160.13)

https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=151&ncdver=1&bc=AAAAQAAAAAA&

Prior Authorization Information

Pre-service approval is required for all inpatient services for all products.

See below for situations where prior authorization may be required or may not be required for outpatient services.

Yes indicates that prior authorization is required.

No indicates that prior authorization is not required.

_			
	-1	atie	
v	albe	auc	

Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	No
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	No
Medicare HMO Blue SM	No
Medicare PPO Blue SM	No

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD-9 Codes

The following codes are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member. A draft of future ICD-10 Coding related to this document, as it might look today, is included below for your reference.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
64550	Application of surface (transcutaneous) neurostimulator

0278T	Transcutaneous electrical modulation pain reprocessing (eg, scrambler therapy), each
	treatment session (includes placement of electrodes)

HCPCS Codes

HCPCS	
codes:	Code Description
E0720	Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulator (TENS) device, two lead, localized stimulation
E0730	Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) device, four or more leads, for multiple nerve stimulation

Description

Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) describes the application of electrical stimulation to the surface of the skin at the site of pain. TENS may be applied in a variety of settings (in the patient's home, a physician's office, or in an outpatient clinic).

Background

TENS has been used to treat chronic intractable pain, postsurgical pain, and pain associated with active or posttrauma injury unresponsive to other standard pain therapies. It has been proposed that TENS may provide pain relief through the release of endorphins in addition to potential blockade of local pain pathways. TENS has also been used to treat dementia by altering neurotransmitter activity and increasing brain activity that is thought to reduce neural degeneration and stimulate regenerative processes. Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) (Policy No. 7.01.29) is similar to TENS but uses microneedles that penetrate the skin instead of surface electrodes. Interferential stimulation (Policy No. 1.01.24) uses a modulated waveform for deeper tissue stimulation and is believed to improve blood flow to the affected area.

Summary

Overall, evidence for the use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) from high-quality trials remains inconclusive for most indications. The available studies are not consistent on whether TENS improves outcomes, and the overall strength of the evidence is weak for all indications. On the other hand, the best evidence exists for treatment of chronic, intractable pain, and there is strong clinical support for this indication. The available evidence indicates that TENS can improve chronic intractable pain in some patients, and there is also support for its use in clinical guidelines by specialty societies. To best target TENS toward patients who will benefit, a short-term trial of TENS is appropriate, with continuation only in patients who show an initial improvement. Therefore, TENS may be considered medically necessary for the treatment of chronic pain if shown to be effective during a 30-day therapeutic trial.

For indications other than chronic, intractable pain, the evidence does not permit conclusions on the efficacy of TENS. This includes acute pain, treatment of poststroke patients, and prevention of migraine headaches. For the prevention of migraine headaches, 1 small randomized controlled trial reported a greater proportion of patients achieving at least 50% reduction in migraines with TENS compared with sham placebo, and modest reductions in the number of total headache and migraine days. This manufacturer-sponsored trial needs to be corroborated before conclusions can be made on the efficacy of TENS for preventing migraine headaches. Therefore, TENS is considered investigational for all other indications besides chronic, intractable pain.

Policy History

Date	Action
9/2014	BCBSA National medical policy review. New investigational indications described. Coding information clarified. Effective 9/1/2014.
5/2014	Updated Coding section with ICD10 procedure and diagnosis codes, effective 10/2015.
12/2013	Medically necessary indications clarified.

10/2013	New references from BCBSA National medical policy.
11/2011-	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates.
4/2012	No changes to policy statements.
	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Neurology and Neurosurgery.
1/2012	No changes to policy statements.
	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Orthopedics, Rehabilitation Medicine and
	Rheumatology.
6/2011	No changes to policy statements.
	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Neurology and Neurosurgery.
1/2011	No changes to policy statements.
	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Orthopedics, Rehabilitation Medicine and
	Rheumatology.
7/2010	No changes to policy statements.
	BCBSA National medical policy review.
3/01/2010	Changes to policy statements.
	BCBSA National medical policy review.
2/11/2010	Changes to policy statements.
	BCBSA National medical policy review.
07/09/2009	Changes to policy statements.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

Medical Policy Terms of Use

Managed Care Guidelines

Indemnity/PPO Guidelines

Clinical Exception Process

Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines

References

- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. De Novo Classification Request for Cefaly Device. 2012. Available online at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K122566.pdf. Last accessed April, 2014.
- 2. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). TENS or PENS in the treatment of chronic and postoperative pain. TEC Assessments 1996; Volume 11, Tab 21.
- 3. Carroll D, Moore RA, McQuay HJ et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2001; (3):CD003222.
- 4. Milne S, Welch V, Brosseau L et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2001; (2):CD003008.
- 5. Brosseau LU, Pelland LU, Casimiro LY et al. Electrical stimulation for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002; (2):CD003687.
- 6. Price CI, Pandyan AD. Electrical stimulation for preventing and treating post-stroke shoulder pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000; (4):CD001698.
- 7. Proctor ML, Smith CA, Farquhar CM et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and acupuncture for primary dysmenorrhoea. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002; (1):CD002123.
- 8. Osiri M, Welch V, Brosseau L et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for knee osteoarthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000; (4):CD002823.
- 9. Brosseau L, Judd MG, Marchand S et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in the hand. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003; (3):CD004377.
- 10. Cameron M, Lonergan E, Lee H. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for dementia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003; (3):CD004032.
- 11. Khadilkar A, Milne S, Brosseau L et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005; (3):CD003008.
- 12. Bronfort G, Nilsson N, Haas M et al. Non-invasive physical treatments for chronic/recurrent headache. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004; (3):CD001878.

- 13. Kroeling P, Gross A, Houghton PE. Electrotherapy for neck disorders. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005; (2):CD004251.
- 14. Khadilkar A, Odebiyi DO, Brosseau L et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) versus placebo for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008; (4):CD003008.
- 15. Robb KA, Bennett MI, Johnson MI et al. Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) for cancer pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008; (3):CD006276.
- 16. Nnoaham KE, Kumbang J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008; (3):CD003222.
- 17. Walsh DM, Howe TE, Johnson MI et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for acute pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009; (2):CD006142.
- 18. Dowswell T, Bedwell C, Lavender T et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for pain relief in labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009; (2):CD007214.
- 19. Kroeling P, Gross A, Goldsmith CH et al. Electrotherapy for neck pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009; (4):CD004251.
- 20. Mulvey MR, Bagnall AM, Johnson MI et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for phantom pain and stump pain following amputation in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010; (5):CD007264.
- 21. Rutjes AW, Nuesch E, Sterchi R et al. Transcutaneous electrostimulation for osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009; (4):CD002823.
- 22. Dubinsky RM, Miyasaki J. Assessment: efficacy of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in the treatment of pain in neurologic disorders (an evidence-based review): report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 2010; 74(2):173-6.
- 23. Keskin EA, Onur O, Keskin HL et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation improves low back pain during pregnancy. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2012; 74(1):76-83.
- 24. Gossrau G, Wahner M, Kuschke M et al. Microcurrent transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in painful diabetic neuropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled study. Pain Med 2011; 12(6):953-60.
- 25. Hurlow A, Bennett MI, Robb KA et al. Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) for cancer pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012; 3:CD006276.
- 26. Dailey DL, Rakel BA, Vance CG et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces pain, fatigue and hyperalgesia while restoring central inhibition in primary fibromyalgia. Pain 2013; 154(11):2554-62.
- 27. Lauretti GR, Chubaci EF, Mattos AL. Efficacy of the use of two simultaneously TENS devices for fibromvalgia pain. Rheumatol Int 2013: 33(8):2117-22.
- 28. Schneider MP, Tellenbach M, Mordasini L et al. Refractory chronic pelvic pain syndrome in men: can transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation help? BJU Int 2013; 112(2):E159-63.
- 29. Bjordal JM, Johnson MI, Lopes-Martins RA et al. Short-term efficacy of physical interventions in osteoarthritic knee pain. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised placebo-controlled trials. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2007; 8:51.
- 30. Vance CG, Rakel BA, Blodgett NP et al. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain, pain sensitivity, and function in people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Phys Ther 2012; 92(7):898-910.
- 31. Chen WL, Hsu WC, Lin YJ et al. Comparison of intra-articular hyaluronic acid injections with transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation for the management of knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2013; 94(8):1482-9.
- 32. Palmer S, Domaille M, Cramp F et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as an adjunct to education and exercise for knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2014; 66(3):387-94.
- 33. Kroeling P, Gross A, Graham N et al. Electrotherapy for neck pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 8:CD004251.
- 34. Schoenen J, Vandersmissen B, Jeangette S et al. Migraine prevention with a supraorbital transcutaneous stimulator: a randomized controlled trial. Neurology 2013; 80(8):697-704.
- 35. Magis D, Sava S, d'Elia TS et al. Safety and patients' satisfaction of transcutaneous supraorbital neurostimulation (tSNS) with the Cefaly(R) device in headache treatment: a survey of 2,313 headache sufferers in the general population. J Headache Pain 2013; 14:95.

- 36. Johnson M, Martinson M. Efficacy of electrical nerve stimulation for chronic musculoskeletal pain: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Pain 2007; 130(1-2):157-65.
- 37. Deyo RA, Walsh NE, Martin DC et al. A controlled trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and exercise for chronic low back pain. N Engl J Med 1990; 322(23):1627-34.
- 38. Machin D, Lewith GT, Wylson S. Pain measurement in randomized clinical trials: A comparison of two pain scales. Clin J Pain 1988; 4:161-8.
- 39. Oosterhof J, De Boo TM, Oostendorp RA et al. Outcome of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in chronic pain: short-term results of a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. J Headache Pain 2006; 7(4):196-205.
- 40. Oosterhof J, Samwel HJ, de Boo TM et al. Predicting outcome of TENS in chronic pain: a prospective, randomized, placebo controlled trial. Pain 2008; 136(1-2):11-20.
- 41. Oosterhof J, Wilder-Smith OH, de Boo T et al. The long-term outcome of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the treatment for patients with chronic pain: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Pain Pract 2012; 12(7):513-22.
- 42. Lang T, Barker R, Steinlechner B et al. TENS relieves acute posttraumatic hip pain during emergency transport. J Trauma 2007; 62(1):184-8; discussion 88.
- 43. DeSantana JM, Walsh DM, Vance C et al. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for treatment of hyperalgesia and pain. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2008; 10(6):492-9.
- 44. Silva MB, de Melo PR, de Oliveira NM et al. Analgesic effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2012; 91(8):652-7.
- 45. Bjersa K, Andersson T. High frequency TENS as a complement for pain relief in postoperative transition from epidural to general analgesia after pancreatic resection. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2014; 20(1):5-10.
- 46. Kayman-Kose S, Arioz DT, Toktas H et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for pain control after vaginal delivery and cesarean section. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2014.
- 47. Simpson PM, Fouche PF, Thomas RE et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for relieving acute pain in the prehospital setting: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials. Eur J Emerg Med 2014; 21(1):10-7.
- 48. Chesterton LS, Lewis AM, Sim J et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as adjunct to primary care management for tennis elbow: pragmatic randomised controlled trial (TATE trial). BMJ 2013; 347:f5160.
- 49. Laufer Y, Elboim-Gabyzon M. Does sensory transcutaneous electrical stimulation enhance motor recovery following a stroke? A systematic review. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2011; 25(9):799-809.
- 50. Tyson SF, Sadeghi-Demneh E, Nester CJ. The effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on strength, proprioception, balance and mobility in people with stroke: a randomized controlled cross-over trial. Clin Rehabil 2013; 27(9):785-91.
- 51. Martelletti P, Jensen RH, Antal A et al. Neuromodulation of chronic headaches: position statement from the European Headache Federation. J Headache Pain 2013; 14(1):86.
- 52. McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014; 22(3):363-88.
- 53. National Comprehensive Cancer Network NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines™) Adult Cancer Pain. 2013. Available online at: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pain.pdf. Last accessed June, 2013.
- 54. National Cancer Institute. Pain (PDQ®). 2013. Available online at: http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/supportivecare/pain/HealthProfessional/AllPages. Last accessed June, 2013.
- 55. Bono CM, Ghiselli G, Gilbert TJ et al. North American Spine Society. An Evidence-based Clinical Guideline for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Cervical Radiculopathy from Degenerative Disorders. Spine J 2011; 11(1):64-72. Available online at: http://www.spine.org/Documents/Cervical_Radiculopathy.pdf. Last accessed June, 2011.
- 56. Dubinsky RM, Miyasaki J. Assessment: Efficacy of Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation in the Treatment of Pain in Neurologic Disorders (An Evidence-based Review): Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 2010; 74:173-7. Available online at: http://www.neurology.org/content/74/2/173.full.pdf+html Last accessed June, 2011.

- 57. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management; American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Practice Guidelines for Chronic Pain Management: An Updated Report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Anesthesiology 2010; 112(4):810-33. Available online at: http://journals.lww.com/anesthesiology/Fulltext/2010/04000/Practice_Guidelines_for_Chronic_Pain_M anagement_.13.aspx Last accessed June, 2013.
- 58. American Society of Anesthesiologists. Practice guidelines for chronic pain management. A report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Pain Management, Chronic Pain Section. Anesthesiology 1997; 86(4):995-1004. Available online at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=9 105246. Last accessed June, 2013.
- 59. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Low Back Pain. Early Management of Persistent Non-specific Low Back Pain. Clinical Guidance 88. 2009. Available online at: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/11887/44334/44334.pdf. Last accessed June, 2013.
- 60. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Osteoarthritis National Clinical Guideline for Care and Management in Adults. The National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions and National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Clinical Guidance 59. 2008. Available online at: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG59/Guidance/pdf/English. Last accessed June, 2013.
- 61. The National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health and the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Intrapartum Care of Healthy Women and Their Babies During Childbirth. Clinical Guidance 55. 2008. Available online at: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG55/Guidance/pdf/English. Last accessed June, 2013.
- 62. American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 51. Chronic Pelvic Pain. Obstet Gynecol 2004; 103(3):589-605.
- 63. Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. Ann Intern Med 2007; 147(7):478-91.
- 64. Cruccu G, Aziz TZ, Garcia-Larrea L et al. EFNS guidelines on neurostimulation therapy for neuropathic pain. Eur J Neurol 2007; 14(9):952-70.
- 65. Ferrell B, Casarett D, Epplin J et al. The AGS Guideline on the Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons. J Am Geriatr Soc 2002; 50(6 Suppl):S205-24.
- 67. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. National Coverage Determination for Assessing Patient's Suitability for Electrical Nerve Stimulation Therapy (160.7.1) 2006. Available online at: http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=63&ncdver=2&DocID=160.7.1&bc=gAAAAAgAAAAA&. Last accessed June, 2013

- 70. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. Decision Memo for Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Chronic Low Back Pain (CAG-00429N) 2012. Available online at: http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/nca-decision-memo.aspx?NCAId=256. Last accessed June, 2013.