

MDS Alert

ICD-10 Focus: Increase Your ICD-10-CM Fluency by Understanding 'Excludes1'

Get a grip on Excludes1 note and jump ahead on your coding knowledge.

This month, you'll tackle a dilemma that coders have been struggling with since the inception of the ICD-10-CM manual - the "Excludes1" note. Miraculously, the Excludes1 note has eluded the AHA Coding Clinic for the better part of five years - to the frustration of many coders. Finally, all those aches and pains that go hand-in-hand with coding Excludes1 claims are remedied.

"Coding Excludes1 notes has been a controversial topic among many hospitals and practices due to a lack of definitive guidelines," says **Lindsay Della Vella, COC**, medical coding auditor at Precision Healthcare Management in Media, Pennsylvania. "Now, there's a new Coding Clinic (Q4, 2018) that will finally provide clarity on diagnoses paired by an Excludes1 note," Della Vella explains.

Have a glimpse at this critically important Coding Clinic Q&A for a full breakdown of how it will impact you going forward.

Understanding Excludes1 Can Simplify Multiple Dx Coding

Refresher: The ICD-10-CM guidelines offer the following instructions regarding an Excludes1 note:

- "A type1 Excludes note is a pure excludes note. It means 'not coded here.' An Excludes1 note indicates that the code excluded should never be used at the same time as the code above the Excludes1 note. An Excludes1 is used when two conditions cannot occur together, such as a congenital form versus an acquired form of the same condition."

As you can see, these guidelines simply instruct you not to code any two given diagnoses at the same time. This is a classic conundrum that even experienced coders find time and time again concerning which code should they should report versus which they should omit.

Utilize Coding Clinic Q&A for Guidance on Excludes1 Debate

In the Coding Clinic 2018 Q4 edition Q&A, a reader asks for guidance in determining whether the main code listed in the tabular or the code referenced in the Excludes1 note should be reported. The Coding Clinic responds as follows:

- "Assign only the code referenced in the Excludes1 note."

This means that, assuming one of the two diagnosis codes has an Excludes1 note beneath it, you should code according to the Excludes1 note instructions. Consider a scenario where the provider documents a resident with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower respiratory infection and bronchiectasis. Since there are no diagnosis-relevant Excludes1 notes listed under J47- (Bronchiectasis), you will next have a look at the Excludes1 notes for J44- (Other chronic obstructive pulmonary disease). Here you see, among other Excludes1 notes, that the following note is listed:

- "Bronchiectasis (J47.-)."

Based on the new Coding Clinic guidelines, you should report the code listed in the J44- Excludes1 note, J47.-. Specifically, you will report J47.9 (Bronchiectasis, uncomplicated). However, consider a scenario in which both diagnosis codes offer an Excludes1 note advising to use the other respective diagnosis code. Unfortunately, the Coding Clinic does not offer guidance on how you should address this particular scenario.

However, inferring from the above guidelines, the Coding Clinic would presumably allow for the coder to choose between either coding option. Ideally, if one diagnosis code is distinctively primary over another, you should report the primary coding option and disregard the secondary.

"Until the AHA offers further advice on the subject, coders should consider the Coding Clinic guidance when reviewing paired Excludes1 notes, but ultimately use their own judgment on which code best supports the documented diagnosis," advises **Sheri Poe Bernard, CPC**, of **Poe Bernard Consulting** in Salt Lake City, Utah.

Remember: There may be some confounding circumstances in which you code both the tabular code and the code referenced in the Excludes1 note. When two conditions are clinically unrelated to one another, ICD-10-CM guidelines allow you to disregard the Excludes1 note and report both diagnoses separately. Query the provider if it is not clear whether a relation between the two diagnoses exists.

Understand Exceptions to Excludes1 Guidelines

In the same quarterly issue, the Coding Clinic addresses one final situation involving the use of the Excludes1 parenthetical notation. In this reader question, the reader inquires about the coding of nutritional anemia and anemia. In this example, D53.9 (Nutritional anemia, unspecified) has an Excludes1 note instructing the coder to code D64.9 (Anemia, unspecified) when the provider also documents "anemia NOS."

The Coding Clinic advises that, in this example, it would be inappropriate to follow the Excludes1 guidelines and report only D64.9. That's because, as the Coding Clinic puts it, "it would be contradictory to have a code for unspecified and another specified code for the same condition." Even though this kind of example might be rare, it's important to keep your thinking cap on when addressing diagnosis codes that involve Excludes1 notes. While this Excludes1 note appears to be an error on the part of the ICD-10-CM, the 2019 manual does not address the issue.

Top tip: This offers a valuable lesson that the general principles of coding should always be followed over parenthetical notes such as an Excludes1 note. In this case, do not code the same condition twice, even if ICD-10 instructs you to do so in the parenthetical notes.